

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,848	FRENCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	

All Participants:

Status of Application: After Final

(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) _____.

(2) James M. Heslin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005

Time: 5:20pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

77 and 78

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed changing "one or more" in line 3 of claims 77 and 78 to --multiple-- because claim 66, on which they depend, requires multiple ribs.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)